

1 Stakeholders

Following is a list of stakeholders that were contacted.

1.1 PLACER COUNTY LOCAL GOVERNMENT:

- Board of Supervisors
 - Agriculture Commissioner
 - County Executive Officer
 - Placer County Air Quality Management District
 - Office of Emergency Services
 - Planning Department
 - Placer County Water Agency
 - Placer County RCD
 - Tahoe RCD
 - Placer County Fire Chiefs Association
 - Northstar CSD (CSA21)
 - Eastern Placer Co. Joint Powers Authority
-

1.2 NEVADA COUNTY LOCAL GOVERNMENT

- Agriculture Commissioner
 - Nevada Irrigation District
 - Northern Sierra Air Quality Management District
 - Nevada County RCD
 - Planning Department
 - Board of Supervisors
 - UC Cooperative Extension
 - Nevada County Fire Chiefs Association
-

1.3 YUBA COUNTY LOCAL GOVERNMENT:

- Planning & Building Services
 - Yuba County Water Agency
 - Feather River Air Quality Management District
 - Agricultural Commissioner
 - UC Cooperative Extension
 - Yuba County RCD
 - Yuba County Fire Chiefs Association
-

1.4 LOCAL INTEREST GROUPS:

- Sierra Economics Development District
- A.R. Associates
- American River Watershed Group
- Sierra Pacific Industries
- Ca. Cattlemen's Association
- Nevada County Farm Bureau
- Placer County Museum
- Ca. Oak Foundation
- PG&E Land Services
- Protect American River Canyon (P.A.R.C.)
- Fiber Board
- Sierra Front Wildfire Cooperators
- Lake Tahoe Regional Fire Chiefs Association
- Fire Safe Council of Nevada County
- Yuba Watershed and Fire Safe Council
- Placer County Fire Alliance

1.5 STATE OF CALIFORNIA:

- Caltrans
- Ca. Dept. of Fish & Game
- Ca. Office of Historic Preservation
- State Water Resources Control Board
- Resources Agency
- Lahontan RWQCB
- State Fire Marshall
- Ca. Department of Parks & Recreation
- UC Cooperative Extension (Specialist, Valley Oaks & Burning)

1.6 UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT:

- Tahoe National Forest
- Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit
- El Dorado National Forest
- Nevada County Conservation District
- Placer County Conservation District
- Yuba County Conservation District
- Fish & Wildlife Habitat Conservation

1.7 Stakeholders Comments

The stakeholder meetings were initially conducted for focused groups of stakeholders. The reasoning was that the meetings would be more productive without having to deal with a wide range of conflicting interests. As the meetings progressed it became evident that everybody's primary concern was how to protect the Assets at Risk from a costly and damaging fire. Most stakeholders were interested in the development and application of the assessment system. Relatively few suggested any changes to the system; however, quite a few did have information on data missing from asset data ([see Appendix 5](#)). Their input was included in later versions of the assessment.

Stakeholders generally agreed with the assessment system outlined in the Fire Plan. They all supported CDF's effort to identify those areas with high fire hazards that were most at risk to a costly damaging and fire. Individually, though, they were particularly interested in the protection of their specific asset(s) of concern. They supported the concept of pre-fire projects to reduce the potential of a costly and damaging fire but not at the expense of their asset(s) of concern.

Assets at Risk

The various assets were each mapped for their potential to risk as the result of a costly and damaging fire. The criteria for setting the breakpoints can be found in [Appendix 1](#) and the individual maps are available in [Appendix 2](#).